## An old issue on the front burner: Health and environmental impacts of gas stoves Michael Brauer

School of Population and Public Health



OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

NCCEH Webinar, April 19, 2023





### Gas Stoves

- Exacerbation of respiratory disease (asthma, COPD)
- Incident asthma
- Climate impacts

### The role of NO<sub>2</sub>

Revised WHO Air Quality Guidelines

# A US federal agency is considering a ban on gas stoves

By Ramishah Maruf, CNN Updated 9:02 PM EST, Wed January 11, 2023





Dideo Ad Feedback

The New York Times

OPINION

**FARHAD MANJOO** 

#### The New Hork Times

Gas Stoves Could They Be Banned? Climate and Health Concerns How to Lower Your Risk Alternatives to Gas Stoves

#### Your Gas Stove May Be Killing You. How Much Should You Worry?

Jan. 13, 2023



#### Ban Gas Stoves? Just the Idea Gets Some in Washington Boiling.

The nation's top consumer watchdog agency raised concerns about indoor air pollution from gas stoves. A political firestorm ensued.

🛱 Give this article 🔗 🗍 🖓 936



Gas stoves are used in about 35 percent of U.S. households, or about 40 million homes. Sean Gallup/Getty Images





#### Low income households



Philip J. Landrigan, M.D., Howard Frumkin, M.D., Dr.P.H., and Brita E. Lundberg, M.D.

production of natural gas has grown by nearly 400% in the United States since 1950, and gas is now the country's second-largest energy source. The main driver of this increase has been the wide-scale adoption of hydraulic fracturing ("fracking"). During the fracking process, large volumes of water, sand, and chemicals are injected deep underground at high pressure to fracture shale deposits and sand and coal beds to release trapped gas. The world's largest gas-transmission network - with more than 300,000 miles of interstate and intrastate transmission pipelines, 2.1 million miles of local distribution lines, and more than 1000 compressor stations - brings this gas to the market. The ready availability of gas has reduced dependence on coal and oil, enables the United States to ship gas overseas, and will make the country a net energy exporter by 2020.1 It has also made gas an important feedstock for the chemical, pesticide, and plastics-manufacturing industries.

Natural gas, composed princi-

pally of methane, has been hailed as a clean "transition" fuel — a bridge from the coal and oil of the past to the clean energy sources of the future. This claim is partially true. Gas combustion produces only negligible quantities of sulfur dioxide, mercury, and particulates. It is thus less polluting than combustion of coal or oil, and this benefits health.<sup>2</sup> Gas combustion also generates less carbon dioxide per unit of energy than combustion of coal or oil.

But beneath this rosy narrative lies a more complex story. Gas is associated with health and environmental hazards and reduced social welfare at every stage of its life cycle.2 Fracking is linked to contamination of ground and surface water, air pollution, noise and light pollution, radiation releases, ecosystem damage, and earthquakes (see table). Transmission and storage of gas result in fires and explosions. The pipeline network is aging, inadequately maintained, and infrequently inspected. One or more pipeline explosions occur every

tember 2018, a series of pipeline explosions in the Merrimack Valley in Massachusetts caused more than 80 fires and explosions, damaged 131 homes, forced the evacuation of 30,000 people, injured 25 people, including two firefighters, and killed an 18-yearold boy. Gas compressor stations emit toxic and carcinogenic chemicals such as benzene, 1,3-butadiene, and formaldehyde. Wells, pipelines, and compressor stations are disproportionately located in low-income, minority, and marginalized communities, where they may leak gas, generate noise, endanger health, and contribute to environmental injustice while producing no local benefits. Gas combustion generates oxides of nitrogen that increase asthma risk and aggravate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

year in the United States. In Sep-

Compounding these hazards are the grave dangers that gas extraction and use pose to the global climate.<sup>3</sup> Gas is a much more powerful driver of climate change than is generally recognized. As much as 4% of all gas

#### " Gas combustion generates oxides of nitrogen that increase asthma risk and aggravate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease"

104

N ENGL J MED 382;2 NEJM.ORG JANUARY 9, 2020

The New England Journal of Medicine Downloaded from nejm.org on January 18, 2023. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright © 2020 Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.

#### COMMENTARY

#### Gas stoves and respiratory health

#### See page 426

Gas appliances for cooking or heating are used by about 30-60% of the population in most European and American countries and are used increasingly elsewhere in the world.<sup>1</sup> Operation of unvented appliances, especially stoves, is associated with increased nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) concentrations in houses. The report from Jatvis and colleagues this week adds to studies supporting a link between the use of gas stoves and adverse effects on respiratory health.

The relation between respiratory health and indoor pollution from these appliances has received considerable attention during the past 25 years; both positive and negative associations have been reported.<sup>1</sup> Most positive studies indicate the effect is relatively small (odds ratios of about 1-2) and therefore difficult to detect, despite high prevalence of exposure.<sup>1</sup> However, although the effect may be subile, the fact that such large numbers of people are exposed makes this an issue of considerable public health importance.

Jarvis and colleagues show relatively strong positive associations between the use of domestic gas appliances and both lung function decrements and increased respiratory symptoms, especially among young women who cook with gas stoves. This report is important for several reasons. First, it is a carefully conducted observational study of a stratified random population sample. Although subject to the limitations of the crosssectional observational approach, the design permits generalisation of the results to the population from which the sample was drawn and, probably, to other populations with similar demographic, health, and exposure characteristics.

Second, unlike many previous studies which concentrated on children (believed to be more susceptible to the effects of indoor air pollution). Jarvis et al focused on those likely to be the most exposed-young women who cook with gas stoves. The associations found were stronger than those seen in most previous investigations. This is an important distinction, not only because of potentially higher cumulative exposures of the women relative to children, but also because of higher peak exposures associated with cooking compared with other locations in a home." Most epidemiological studies of NO exposure have used exposure monitors with an averaging period of several days. Over this time frame, kitchen concentrations are higher than those measured elsewhere in the home, but only by about 30%." Consequently, the assumption was that children would have similar exposures to their parents who were doing the cooking. However, Harlos' showed that peak exposures among those doing the cooking are much higher than among persons elsewhere in the home. This observation may explain the lack of adverse effects observed in men living in the same homes in Jarvis' study. as well as the smaller effects seen previously in studies of children.

Another important feature of Jarvis' paper is the analysis of respiratory effects and their mudilication by atory. In a controlled experiment, NO<sub>3</sub> was shown to increase the sensitivity of bronchial response to inhaled dust mire allergen.' Jarvis and colleagues are the first to evaluate this interaction in a random population sample. Although the differences in respiratory outcomes were not statistically higher in the atopic group, there was a trend suggesting a possible interaction between gas stove use, and presumably NO<sub>4</sub> exposure, and atopy.

From their results, Jarvis et al compute populationattributable risk fractions for respiratory symptoms in the range 20-40%, suggesting that elimination of this exposure could reduce the prevalence of respiratory symptoms considerably among young women. In interpreting this estimate, we need to recognise (a) that these values are specific to the population represented by the study (ie, young women of the region); and (b) that for diseases or symptoms with multiple risk factors, some of which are synergistic, population-attributable risk fractions can, and often do, add to more than 100%. Nevertheless, as the researchers suggest, continued investigation of the role of gas appliances and NO, in the development and aggravation of respiratory disease is clearly warranted. This study suggests possible avenues along which this research might progress; personal exposure measurements (including peak exposures) of adults cooking with gas appliances, and continued investigation of the interaction between NO, exposure and indoor allergens. Although the issue of indoor gas appliances, NO,, and respiratory health is not new, this remains an extremely common, possibly increasing, exposure throughout the world. The stakes are high.

#### Michael Brauer, Susan M Kennedy

Occupational Hygiene Program and Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada,

- Koontz MD, Melsegao LL, Nagda NL. Distribution and use of cooking appliances that can affect indoor air quality. Chicago: Ges Research Institute, 1992 (GRI-93/0013).
- Samer JM, Urell Al). The task of nitrogen disxide: what have we learned from epidemiological and clinical studies. *Toxool Ind Heark* 1990; 5: 247-62.
- Hasselblad V, Effy DM, Katchinan DJ. Synthesis of environmental oridence: mitrogen doostde epidemiology studies, J Ar. Wave Manage-Anne 1992; 423: 652–71.
- Harlos DP, Acute exposure to mitrogen dioxide during cooking or commuting. PhD discertation, Flarvard School of Public Health, Boston. 1988.
- Lambert WE, Samet JM, Huan WG, Skipper BJ, Schwab M, Spirngler DD. Nitrogen dioxide and respiratory illuess in infanta, part B, Cambridge, MA: Health Effects frontique, report number 58, 1993
   Ryan PD, Soczek ML, Spirngler JD, Blinck PH. The Bouron residential

NO characterization index I. Pedimary evaluation of the survey methodology. J Air Poll County Acad 1998; 38: 22-27.

7 Tunnichille W., Burge P. Ayres J. Effect of domestic conceptrations of mirrogen disorde on arway responses to inholed allergin in sufficiently patients. *Linear* 1004, 3441 1733 56.

#### Cochlear implantation for children and adults

Cochlear stimulation can be achieved by either singlechannel or multiple-channel stimulating electrodes. Weston and colleagues: lately showed that multiplechannel devices provide significantly greater benefit and are therefore the method of choice.

The concept of electrical stimulation of the auditory system dates back to Volta, who in 1790 placed meal rods in his cars and connected them to a 50 volt electric source. As well as experiencing "one secouse de tête" (an The relation between respiratory health and indoor pollution from these appliances has received considerable attention during the past 25 years; both positive and negative associations have been reported. Most positive studies indicate the effect is relatively small (odds ratios of about 1.2) and therefore difficult to detect, despite high prevalence of exposure.

#### Lancet, 1996







"More than three-quarters of methane emissions we measured originated during steady-state-off."

"Using a 20-year timeframe for methane, annual methane emissions from all gas stoves in U.S. homes have a climate impact comparable to the annual carbon dioxide emissions of 500 000 cars."

## Why oil and gas heating bans for new homes are a growing trend

(f) 🕑 🗖 🍯 (in

With growing push toward electric heating, gas industry touts carbon-neutral gas Emily Chung - CBC News - Posted: Jan 30, 2022 1:00 AM PST | Last Updated: February 1, 2022



The City of Vancouver is joining a North American push to reduce the amount of fossil fuels used to heat buildings by making zero-emission energy sources a policy for new low-rise residential buildings.

**Vancouver**: Starting Jan. 1, 2022, <u>equipment for</u> <u>space and hot water heating in new low-rise</u> <u>residential buildings must be zero emissions</u>. By 2025, all new and replacement heating and hot water systems must be zero emissions. **OT** NEWS TORONTO

NEWS VIDEO V SHOWS V ABOUT V LOCAL V

#### TORONTO News

## U.S. considers gas stove ban due to 'hidden hazard.' Will Canada follow?



Jan 11, 2023



U.S. News World News Politics Sports Entertainment Business Technology Health Science Oddities Lifestyle Photography Videos

Fox lawsuit settled for \$787M Ralph Yarl shooting NYC parking garage collapse Latest on Russia-Ukraine war More news

#### Court throws out Berkeley, California's ban on natural gas

yesterday





Unburned NG samples from 159 residential NG stoves across seven geographic regions in California.





"More than three-quarters of methane emissions we measured originated during steady-state-off."

"Using a 20-year timeframe for methane, annual methane emissions from all gas stoves in U.S. homes have a climate impact comparable to the annual carbon dioxide emissions of 500 000 cars."

"Our data suggest that families who don't use their range hoods or who have poor ventilation can surpass the 1-h national standard of NO<sub>2</sub> (100 ppb) within a few minutes of stove usage, particularly in smaller kitchens."

# WHO AQGs ...

Summary of recommended AQG levels and interim targets

,			3			
Pollutant	Averaging time	IT1	IT2	IT3	IT4	AQG level
PM <sub>2.5</sub> , μg/m³	Annual	35	25	15	10	5
PM <sub>2,5</sub> , μg/m³	24-hour <sup>a</sup>	75	50	37.5	25	15
PM <sub>10</sub> , µg/m³	Annual	70	50	30	20	15
PM <sub>10</sub> , μg/m³	24-hour <sup>a</sup>	150	100	75	50	45
<u>Ο<sub>3</sub>, μg/m³</u>	Peak season <sup>b</sup>	100	70	-	-	60
Ο <sub>3</sub> , μg/m³	8-hour <sup>a</sup>	160	120	_	-	100
NO <sub>2</sub> , μg/m³	Annual	40	30	20	-	10
<u>NO₂, μg/m³</u>	24-hour <sup>a</sup>	120	50	-	-	25
SO <sub>2</sub> , μg/m³	24-hour <sup>a</sup>	125	50	_	-	40
<u>CO, mg/m³</u>	24-hour <sup>a</sup>	7	-	-	-	4



Air quality guideline levels for both long- and short-term exposure in relation to critical health outcomes.



**Interim targets** to guide reduction efforts for the achievement of the air quality guideline levels.

Good practice statements for management of Black Carbon, Ultrafine particles, Desert Dust: types of healthrelevant PM (evidence insufficient for quantitative guideline levels





WHO AQGs ....

Summary of recommended AQG levels and interim targets

Pollutant Averaging

**Residential Maximum Exposure Limit for Nitrogen Dioxide** 

AQG

Exposure Concentra period µg/m <sup>3</sup>		tration	
		ppb	Critical Effects
Short-term	170	90	Decreased lung function and increased airway responsiveness in asthmatics
Long-term	20	11	Higher frequency of days with respiratory symptoms and/or medication use in asthmatic children

NO <sub>2</sub> , μg/m³	Annual	40	30	20	-	10
<u>NO₂, μg/m³</u>	24-hour <sup>a</sup>	120	50	—	-	25
SO <sub>2</sub> , μg/m³	24-hour <sup>a</sup>	125	50	_	-	40
<u>CO, mg/m³</u>	24-hour <sup>a</sup>	7	-	-	-	4

Good practice statements for management of **Black** Carbon, Ultrafine particles, Desert Dust: types of healthrelevant PM (evidence insufficient for quantitative guideline levels

Air quality quidalina lavale







Article

International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health



#### **Population Attributable Fraction of Gas Stoves and Childhood** Asthma in the United States

Talor Gruenwald 1,+, Brady A. Seals 1,\*0, Luke D. Knibbs 2,3 and H. Dean Hosgood III 40

- Previously published RRs (Lin et al, 2013)
- Proportion of US households using gas stoves for cooking (surveys from 9 states)

Population attributable fraction of current childhood asthma associated with gas stove use



Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the International Epidemiological Association © The Author 2013; all rights reserved. Advance Access publication 20 August 2013 International Journal of Epidemiology 2013;42:1724-1737 doi:10.1093/ijc/dy1150

#### EARLY LIFE

#### Meta-analysis of the effects of indoor nitrogen dioxide and gas cooking on asthma and wheeze in children

Weiwei Lin,<sup>1</sup> Bert Brunekreef<sup>1,2</sup> and Ulrike Gehring<sup>1</sup>\*

Study D	Odds ratio (95% CI)
URRENT ASTHMA	Contra Sur
Aelia, boys (1977)	1.48 (0.90, 2.43)
Aelia, girls (1977)	1.53 (0.79, 2.96)
Jekker (1991)	1.95 (1.41, 2.68)
lessel (2001)	1.70 (1.00, 3.10)
AcConnell, no wheeze (2002)	1.30 (0.80, 1.90)
IcConnell, wheeze (2002)	1.20 (0.70, 2.00)
ipengler (2004)	- 2.28 (1.04, 5.01)
lehrens (2005)	0.77 (0.17, 3.46)
avernier (2006)	0.69 (0.24, 1.95)
Villers (2006)	1.50 (0.90, 2.49)
Diette (2007)	0.84 (0.47, 1.48)
aristen (2011)	1,40 (0.60, 3.60)
in (2013)	1.29 (0.98, 1.69)
0+L Subtotal (I-squared = 2.9%, P = 0.417)	1,42 (1.23, 1.64)
V Subtotal	1.42 (1,24, 1.63)
IFETIME ASTHMA	
Dodge (1982)	1.78 (0.40, 7.93)
/olkmer (1995)	1.24 (1.07, 1.42)
faler (1997)	0.90 (0.60, 1.40)
Garrett (1998)	- 2.23 (1.06, 4.72)
icelscher (2000)	0 59 (0.26, 1.33)
Ponsonby (2000)	1.84 (1.06, 3.17)
Ponsonby (2001)	1.20 (0.91, 1.58)
asas (2012)	1.33 (0.92, 1.93)
0+L Subtotal (I-squared = 51.1%, P = 0.180)	1.24 (1.04, 1.47)
V Subtotal	1.24 (1.11, 1.38
0+L Overall (I-squared = 19.8%, P = 0.204)	1.32 (1.18, 1.48
V Overall	1.30 (1.20, 1.42
	and brand title

- "...children living in a home with gas cooking have a 42% increased risk of having current asthma...
- per 15 ppb increase in indoor NO2 level, children have a 15% increased risk of having current wheeze..
- Image: no increase in the risk of asthma in relation to indoor NO<sub>2</sub> exposure and no increase in the risk of wheeze in relation to gas cooking exposure..."

- Gas cooking effects higher
  - Europe/Asia-Pacific vs N America
  - □ Where gas cooking prevalence <30%
  - Studies published before 2000



- High temperature combustion: oxidation of  $N_2$  in air: NO  $\rightarrow$  NO<sub>2</sub>
- Vehicles, Natural gas (power plants)
- Indoors: Gas stoves, Space heaters, Ice resurfacers

### **Biological Pathways**



Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the International Epidemiological Association © The Author 2013; all rights reserved. Advance Access publication 20 August 2013 International Journal of Epidemiology 2013;42:1724-1737 doi:10.1093/ije/dy1150

#### EARLY LIFE

#### Meta-analysis of the effects of indoor nitrogen dioxide and gas cooking on asthma and wheeze in children

Weiwei Lin,<sup>1</sup> Bert Brunekreef<sup>1,2</sup> and Ulrike Gehring<sup>1</sup>\*





Int J Epidemiol, Volume 42, Issue 6, December 2013, Pages 1724–1737, https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyt150

- Gas cooking produces NO<sub>2</sub> and other pollutants such as ultrafine particles.
- Our finding of an association between gas cooking and asthma in the absence of an association between measured NO<sub>2</sub> and asthma suggests that gas cooking may act as a surrogate for causal variables other than air pollutants produced by gas combustion.
  - Supported by an Australian study, where the association between gas cooking and respiratory symptoms remained significant after adjustment for measured NO<sub>2</sub>.

### ISAAC

#### ~500,000 primary and secondary school children from 108 centres in 47 countries

	Adjusted model		Multivariate analysis	
	6–7 years	13–14 years	6–7 years	13–14 years
Current wheeze	0.98 (0.92–1.04)	0.99 (0.94–1.04)	0.96 (0.89–1.03)	0.99 (0.92–1.07)
Current symptoms of severe asthma	1.01 (0.92–1.10)	0.97 (0.91–1.03)	0.97 (0.87–1.09)	0.97 (0.89–1.07)
Asthma ever	0.95 (0.89–1.01)	0.98 (0.93–1.02)	0.94 (0.88–1.02)	0.99 (0.93–1.05)
Current symptoms of rhinoconjunctivitis	1.04 (0.97–1.01)	0.96 (0.91–1.01)	1.00 (0.92–1.09)	0.99 (0.92–1.06)
Hay fever ever	1.02 (0.95–1.09)	0.96 (0.91–1.01)	1.00 (0.92–1.09)	0.99 (0.92–1.07)
Current symptoms of eczema	0.97 (0.91–1.03)	1.00 (0.94–1.06)	0.94 (0.87–1.02)	1.00 (0.92–1.09)
Eczema ever	0.91 (0.86–0.96)	0.99 (0.93–1.04)	0.93 (0.88–0.99)	1.01 (0.93–1.09)
Data are odds ratio (95% C for cooking.	Ξl), unless otherwise sta	ted. The reference cate	gory for these estimate	s is electricity only used
Table 7: Association bet rhinoconjunctivitis, and	• •	•	rent symptoms of a	sthma,

## CHILD cohort



### Intervention: Gas stove air cleaning & ventilation

- 100 homes with unvented gas stoves:
  - □ Electric stove (~\$2200)
  - Ventilation hood (~\$2000)
  - □ HEPA (PM) and carbon filters (~\$700)
- Electric: 51% (42% in bedroom) reduction in median kitchen NO2 (3 months)
- Filtration: Smaller and less persistent decreases
- Ventilation hoods ineffective



Median NO<sub>2</sub> concentration by study arm: kitchen

## Intervention: Electric heater & gas heater ventilation

- N= 199 children with asthma from 18 primary schools with unvented gas heaters
- Intervention (8 schools) with electric heaters or vented gas for asthma symptoms, lung function and bronchial hyperresponsiveness (no unvented gas appliances at home)
- Reductions in NO2 (~32 ppb) and asthma symptoms but not lung function or hyperresponsiveness with intervention

	Mean rate	Mean rate		
Symptom/activity	Intervention $(N = 45)$	Control $(N = 69)$	RR	95% C
Wheeze during the day	4.9 (15.2)	5.1 (10.5)	0.95	0.45, 2.01
Wheeze during the night	2.2 (5.6)	2.3 (5.5)	0.94	0.36, 2.50
Difficulty breathing during the day	2.2 (3.7)	5.4 (12.1)	0.41	0.07, 0.98
			(P = 0.045)	
Difficulty breathing during the night	0.8(2.2)	2.6 (6.9)	0.32	0.14, 0.69
			(P = 0.004)	
Chest tightness during the day	2.3 (4.3)	5.1 (9.9)	0.45	0.25, 0.8
			(P = 0.008)	
Chest tightness during the night	1.5 (3.3)	2,5 (6.2)	0.59	0.28, 1.29
Cough during the day	17.5 (21.5)	13.7 (13.7)	1.27	0.81, 2.00
Cough during the night	10.7 (16.6)	11.6 (12.4)	0.92	0.49, 1.7
Difficulty breathing after exercise	3.8 (7.4)	6.4 (13.9)	0.59	0.31, 1.13
Asthma attacks during the day	1.1 (2.3)	2.7 (5.3)	0.39	0.17, 0.93
			(P = 0.034)	
Asthma attacks during the night	0.7 (2.1)	1.8 (3.8)	0.38	0.13, 1.03
Missed school due to asthma	1.6 (2.0)	1.2 (2.8)	1.34	0.68, 2.60
Visit to health care facilities due to asthma	0.5 (0.8)	0.8 (1.2)	0.60	0.35, 1.03
Taking any asthma medication	26.9 (36.7)	34.6 (37.1)	0.77	0.49, 1.2
Taking any reliever	13.8 (23.2)	22.4 (28.8)	0.62	0.31, 1.25
Taking any preventer	26.2 (40.1)	29.9 (42.2)	0.87	0.53, 1.44

### Pilotto LS et al. Randomized controlled trial of unflued gas heater replacement on respiratory health of asthmatic schoolchildren. International Journal of Epidemiology 2003;33:208–214

## Intervention: Gas stove air cleaning

- 5–11 yr old children (n = 126) with persistent asthma living in homes with gas stoves and NO2 >15 ppb
- 5-week crossover intervention for number of asthma symptom days:
  - (1) NO2 reduction (2) HEPA (PM) filtration
- 4 ppb NO2 reduction, but no reduction in symptom days.
- Small reduction in symptom days for particle filtration

**Table 4.** Effect of treatment on number of symptom days in final 14 days of treatment shown for intent-to-treat (A) and compliance (B) analyses.

	Treatment arms		Unadjusted			Adjusted <sup>a</sup>		
Analysis	N (Ss)	N (Ss) N (obs)	df	Estimate (SE)	p-value <sup>b</sup>	df	Estimate (SE)	<i>p</i> -value <sup>b</sup>
A. Intent-to-treat <sup>c</sup>	117	332						
Air Cleaner Configurations			2, 116		.84	2, 106		.77
NO <sub>2</sub> -reduction vs Control			116	0.20 (0.45)	.65	106	0.31 (0.45)	.49
Particle-reduction vs Control			116	0.25 (0.44)	.58	106	0.24 (0.44)	.59
B. Compliance <sup>d</sup>	109	270						
Air Cleaner Configurations			2, 108		.86	2, 98		.92
NO2-reduction vs Control			108	-0.27 (0.50)	.59	98	-0.19 (0.51)	.71
Particle-reduction vs Control			108	-0.20 (0.50)	.69	98	-0.16 (0.51)	.75

**Table 6.** Effect of treatment including measured  $NO_2$  concentration as a factor on number of symptom days in final 14 days of treatment for adjusted and unadjusted compliance analysis.

	Treatment arms		Unadjusted			Adjusteda		
Factors	N (Ss)	N (obs)	df	Estimate (SE)	p-value	df	Estimate (SE)	p-value
Compliance including NO <sup>,b</sup>	106	267						
Measured NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)			1, 105		.01	1, 95		.04
Treatment arm			2, 105		.03	2, 95		.03
Measured NO <sub>2</sub> $\times$ Treatment			2, 105		.01	2, 95		.009
Treatment Arm Contrasts								
NO <sub>2</sub> -reduction vs Control			105	0.33 (1.03)	.75	95	0.41 (1.05)	.70
Particle-reduction vs Control			105	-1.81 (1.06)	.09	95	-1.80(1.08)	.10

**Childhood asthma and household exposures to nitrogen dioxide and fine particles: a triple- crossover randomized intervention trial.** Gent JF et al. Journal of Asthma, 2022. 60:4, 744-753.

## Controlled NO<sub>2</sub> exposures (Health Canada, 2016)

- Relationship between exposure to and adverse respiratory effects (lung function; BHR) in asthmatics or COPD subjects, but exposure–response relationship < 1000 ppb NO<sub>2</sub> is unclear
  - Some suggestions of responses to 1 hr exposures of 300 ppb for those with asthma or COPD, whereas for others no impacts until > 1000 ppb

Health Canada, 2016. Human Health Risk Assessment for Ambient Nitrogen Dioxide. https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\_2016/sc-hc/H114-31-2016-eng.pdf

## Indoor Chemistry



- Exposure-related changes in tear-fluid cytology.
- 10% decrease in specific airway conductance following exercise compared with a 2% decrease in clean air

Effects of nitrous acid exposure on human mucous membranes. Rasmussen TR, Brauer M, Kjaergaard S. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1995. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.151.5.7735607.

## Health Canada NO<sub>2</sub> (2016)

### Causal:

 Short-term exposure to ambient NO<sub>2</sub> at current levels and increased asthma-related morbidity (airway inflammation and AHR, respiratory symptoms, asthma hospitalizations and ERVs).

### Likely causal:

- short-term exposure and total/non-accidental, cardiopulmonary, and to a lesser extent cardiovascular and respiratory mortality
- Iong-term exposure and development of asthma or allergicrelated disease

#### Suggestive:

Short-term exposure and cardiovascular effects.

In outdoor air pollution studies, NO<sub>2</sub> often is used as a marker of a complex, traffic-related air pollution (TrAP) mixture



Henderson SB et al. Environmental Science and Technology. 2007; 41 (7):2422 -2428

## Health Canada NO<sub>2</sub> (2016)

### Causal:

 Short-term exposure to ambient NO<sub>2</sub> at current levels and increased asthma-related morbidity (airway inflammation and AHR, respiratory symptoms, asthma hospitalizations and ERVs).

### Likely causal:

- short-term exposure and total/non-accidental, cardiopulmonary, and to a lesser extent cardiovascular and respiratory mortality
- Iong-term exposure and development of asthma or allergicrelated disease

#### Suggestive:

Short-term exposure and cardiovascular effects.

#### Figure 8.2: Percentage excess risk for respiratory hospital admissions and 95% CIs per standardized increment (20 ppb for 24-h avg and 30 ppb for daily 1-h max) in short-term NO<sub>2</sub> ambient concentration from all seasons in single-pollutant models (\*unless otherwise noted) in Canadian and US studies



- A. 16 Canadian cities; Burnett et al. (1997b); lag 0 d; \*three-pollutant model
- B. 10 Canadian cities; Cakmak et al. (2006); lag 1-4 d
- C. Toronto, ON; Burnett et al. (2001); summer; 2-d avg
- D. Windsor, ON; Luginaah et al. (2005); lag 2 d
- E. Vancouver, BC; Yang et al. (2003); lag 1 d
- F. Vancouver, BC; Fung et al. (2006); 3-d avg
- G. Toronto, ON; Burnett et al. (1999); lag 0 d
- H. Toronto, ON; Lin et al. (2003); 6-d avg
- Ontario, Canada; To et al. (2013); lag 0 d; "threepollutant model
- J. Vancouver, BC; Lin et al. (2004); children w/ low SES; 4-d avg
- K. Los Angeles, CA; Linn et al. (2000); ≥30 years of age; lag 0 d

-50

0

50

100

Percentage excess risk of asthma ERVs; 95% CIs

150

200

- Oklahoma City, OK; Magas et al. (2007); lag not reported
- M. El Paso, TX; Grineski et al, (2011); lag 2 or 3 d



jure 8.3: Percentage excess risk for asthma ERVs and 95% CIs per standardized

Health Canada, 2016.



Summary of recommended AQG levels and interim targets

Pollutant	Averaging time	IT1	IT2	IT3	IT4	AQG level
PM <sub>2,5</sub> , μg/m³	Annual	35	25	15	10	5
PM <sub>2,5</sub> , μg/m³	24-hour <sup>a</sup>	75	50	37.5	25	15
PM <sub>10</sub> , μg/m³	Annual	70	50	30	20	15
PM <sub>10</sub> , μg/m³	24-hour <sup>a</sup>	150	100	75	50	45
<u>O₃, μg/m³</u>	Peak season <sup>ь</sup>	100	70	-	-	60
O₃, µg/m³	8-hour <sup>ª</sup>	160	120	-	-	100
NO₂, μg/m³	Annual	40	30	20	-	10
NO₂, μg/m³	24-hourª	120	50	-	-	25
SO₂, μg/m³	24-hourª	125	50	-	-	40
<u>CO, mg/m³</u>	24-hour <sup>a</sup>	7	-	-	-	4



Air quality guideline levels for both long- and short-term exposure in relation to critical health outcomes.



Interim targets to guide reduction efforts for the achievement of the air quality guideline levels.



Good practice statements for management of Black Carbon, Ultrafine particles, Desert Dust: types of health-relevant PM (evidence insufficient for quantitative guideline levels



**Review** article

Review article Long-term exposure to  $NO_2$  and  $O_3$  and all-cause and respiratory mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Peijue Huangfu, Richard Atkinson\*

Population Health Research Institute, St George's, University of London, UK

NO<sub>2</sub> and all-cause mortality.

Author Year	Cohort	RR (95% CI)	% Weigh
Fischer 2015	DUELS	1.03 (1.02, 1.04)	5.77
Bentayeb 2015	Gazel cohort	1.07 (1.00, 1.15)	2.54
Desikan 2016	South London Stroke Register	0.94 (0.76, 1.17)	0.48
Beelen 2014	ESCAPE	+ 1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	5.29
Tonne 2013	MINAP (ACS survivors)	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	4.77
Cesaroni 2013	RoLS	+ 1.03 (1.02, 1.04)	5.69
Carey 2013	CPRD	▲ 1.02 (1.00, 1.05)	5.07
Brunekreef 2009	NLCS-AIR	✤ 1.03 (1.00, 1.05)	5.05
Rosenlund 2008	CHD survivors cohort	• 0.95 (0.89, 1.02)	2.72
Filleul 2005	PAARC	1.14 (1.03, 1.26)	1.77
Hart 2013	Nurses Health Study	1.01 (1.00, 1.03)	5.45
Lipsett 2011	CTS	•• 0.98 (0.95, 1.02)	4.50
Hart 2011	Trucking industry cohort	★ 1.05 (1.03, 1.08)	5.12
Jerrett 2009	Toronto respiratory cohort	1.23 (1.00, 1.51)	0.52
Lipfert 2006	WU-ERPI Veterans	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)	4.43
Abbey 1999	AHSMOG	+ 1.00 (0.99, 1.01)	5.75
Weichenthal 2017	CanCHEC	• 1.04 (1.03, 1.04)	5.76
Hartiala 2016	The Cleveland Clinic GeneBank study	1.00 (0.75, 1.34)	0.26
Turner 2016	ACS CPS-II	• 1.02 (1.01, 1.03)	5.72
Crouse 2015a	CanCHEC	<ul> <li>1.03 (1.03, 1.04)</li> </ul>	5.77
HEI 2000	Six Cities	1.08 (1.02, 1.14)	3.48
Chen 2016	Four Northern Chinese cities	0.92 (0.90, 0.95)	4.83
Yorifuji 2013	Shizuoka elderly cohort	1.12 (1.07, 1.18)	3.66
Yang 2018	Hong Kong elderly	1.00 (0.99, 1.01)	5.61
Overall (I-squared = 96.	9%)	-0- 1.02 (1.01, 1.04)	100.0
with estimated prediction	n interval	(0.98, 1.07)	

Author Year	Cohort	RR (95% CI)	Weight
	NO <sub>2</sub> and COPD more	rtality	
Carey 2013	CPRD	1.07 (0.99, 1.14)	4.73
Naess 2007	Oslo cohort	1.03 (1.01, 1.06)	24.38
Hart 2011	Trucking industry cohort	0.99 (0.88, 1.10)	2.04
Turner 2016	ACS CPS-II	1.01 (0.98, 1.03)	21.84
Crouse 2015a	CanCHEC	1.04 (1.02, 1.07)	28,47
Gan 2013	Vancover residents cohort	1.05 (0.95, 1.15)	2.57
Katanoda 2011	Three-prefectures Cohort	1.02 (0.96, 1.07)	6.94
Yorifuji 2013	Shizuoka elderly cohort	0.98 (0.75, 1.28)	0.35
Yang 2018	Hong Kong elderly	1.01 (0.96, 1.06)	8.68
Overall (I-squared = 22	.7%)	1.03 (1.01, 1.04)	100.00
with estimated prediction	n interval	(1.01, 1.05)	

Health Canada, 2016.

Author Year	Cohort	NO <sub>2</sub> and ALRI mortality	RR (95% CI)	Weight
Carey 2013	CPRD		- 1.07 (0.99, 1.14)	4.73
Naess 2007	Oslo cohort	•	1.03 (1.01, 1.06)	24.38
Hart 2011	Trucking industry	cohort	0.99 (0.88, 1.10)	2.04
Turner 2016	ACS CPS-II		1.01 (0.98, 1.03)	21.84
Crouse 2015a	CanCHEC	-	1.04 (1.02, 1.07)	28,47
Gan 2013	Vancover resider	nts cohort	- 1.05 (0.95, 1.15)	2.57
Katanoda 2011	Three-prefecture	s Cohort	1.02 (0.96, 1.07)	6.94
Yorifuji 2013	Shizuoka elderly	cohort	0.98 (0.75, 1.28)	0.35
Yang 2018	Hong Kong elder	ly 🙀	1.01 (0.96, 1.06)	8.68
Overall (I-squared = 22.	7%)	Ó	1.03 (1.01, 1.04)	100.00
with estimated predictio	n interval		(1.01, 1.05)	

Health Canada, 2016.

## Health Canada (2016)

- Causal:
  - Short-term exposure to ambient NO2 at current levels and increased asthma-related morbidity (airway inflammation and AHR, respiratory symptoms, asthma hospitalizations and ERVs).

#### • Likely causal:

- short-term exposure and total/non-accidental, cardiopulmonary, and to a lesser extent cardiovascular and respiratory mortality
- long-term exposure and development of asthma or allergic-related disease
- Suggestive:
  - Short-term exposure and cardiovascular effects.

Health Canada, 2016. Human Health Risk Assessment for Ambient Nitrogen Dioxide. https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\_2016/sc-hc/H114-31-2016-eng.pdf

## NO<sub>2</sub> and incident asthma

Chudu as Cubasaus	las[Odda Batia]		Walakt	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]		Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Carlsten et al. 2010 - at 7 y.o.		0.1448	0.6%	1.25 [0.94, 1.66]	
Clark et al. 2010 LUR - at mean age of 4 y.o.		0.0171	9.5%	1.05 [1.02, 1.09]	
Dell et al. 2014 LUR - 5 to 9 y.o.	0.039	0.04	5.0%	1.04 [0.96, 1.12]	
Deng et al. 2016 - 3 to 6 y.o.	0.1374	0.0689	2.4%	1.15 [1.00, 1.31]	
Gehring et al. 2015 b - BAMSE birth to 16 y.o.	0.0397	0.0498	3.8%	1.04 [0.94, 1.15]	
Gehring et al. 2015 b - PIAMA birth to 14 y.o.	0.0665	0.0246	7.8%	1.07 [1.02, 1.12]	
Gehring et al. 2015b - GINI&LISA North birth to 15	-0.0679	0.1235	0.8%	0.93 [0.73, 1.19]	
Gehring et al. 2015b - GINI&LISA South birth to 15	-0.0252	0.0602	2.9%	0.98 [0.87, 1.10]	
Jerret et al. 2008 - 10 to 18 y.o.	0.0874	0.033	6.1%	1.09 [1.02, 1.16]	
Kim et al. 2016 - 6 to 7 y.o.	-0.0214	0.0219	8.4%	0.98 [0.94, 1.02]	
Krämer et al. 2009 - 4 to 6 y.o.	0.0698	0.069	2.3%	1.07 [0.94, 1.23]	
Liu et al. 2016 - 4 to 6 years old	0.0877	0.0215	8.5%	1.09 [1.05, 1.14]	÷
MacIntyre et al. 2014 - CAPPS&SAGE only birth to 8	0.1111	0.1268	0.8%	1.12 [0.87, 1.43]	
McConnell et al. 2010 - 4th to 6th grade	0.0698	0.0281	7.1%	1.07 [1.01, 1.13]	
Mölter et al. 2014 b - MAAS only birth to 8 y.o.	0.574	0.2374	0.2%	1.78 [1.11, 2.83]	
Nishimura et al. 2013 - 8 to 21 y.o.	0.0632	0.0269	7.3%	1.07 [1.01, 1.12]	
Oftedal et al. 2009 - birth to 10 y.o.	-0.0359	0.0196	8.9%	0.96 [0.93, 1.00]	-
Ranzi et al. 2014 - birth to 7 y.o.	0.0289		2.3%	1.03 [0.90, 1.18]	1
Shima et al. 2002 - 6 to 12 y.o.		0.0534	3.5%	1.12 [1.01, 1.24]	
Tétreault et al. 2016 - birth to 12 y.o.		0.0048	11.6%	1.02 [1.01, 1.03]	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.05 [1.02, 1.07]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 54.38, df = 19 (P < 0.	0001); l <sup>2</sup> = 65%			Harris and the second sec	
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.76 (P = 0.0002)	27.5 ( <b>V.</b> 1) - 77.92			0	0.5 0.7 1 1.5 2 Decreased risk Increased risk

(per 4  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>; birth – 21 yrs)

Khreis H, et al. Environ Int. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2016.11.012;

### Traffic pollution, Asthma Genetics (TAG)



NO<sub>2</sub> - Asthma, by GSTP1 rs1138272

<u>GSTP1 and TNF Gene variants and associations between air</u> <u>pollution and incident childhood asthma: the traffic, asthma and</u> <u>genetics (TAG) study.</u> MacIntyre EA, Brauer M, Melén E, Bauer CP, Bauer M, Berdel D, Bergström A, Brunekreef B, Chan-Yeung M, Klümper C, Fuertes E, Gehring U, Gref A, Heinrich J, Herbarth O, Kerkhof M, Koppelman GH, Kozyrskyj AL, Pershagen G, Postma DS, Thiering E, Tiesler CM, Carlsten C; TAG Study Group. Environ Health Perspect. 2014 Apr;122(4):418-24. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1307459.



Adjusted for study, city, intervention, gender, maternal age at birth, maternal smoking during pregnancy, environmental tobacco smoke in the home, birth weight and parental atopy

## Conclusions

### (unventilated) Gas stoves

- No new health info! Small increases in respiratory symptoms and incident childhood asthma (?); unlikely due to NO<sub>2</sub> (or HONO)
  - Climate impacts of methane emissions (leakage)
  - Ventilation (range hoods/open windows) reduces emissions
  - Lower concentrations with ~modern stoves that do not have continuous pilots

### NO<sub>2</sub> in ambient air

- Short-term exposures and asthma exacerbation
- Long-term exposures: ~relationship (with substantial heterogeneity) with incident childhood asthma
- $\square$  ~NO<sub>2</sub> very likely a marker for TrAP mixture for other outcomes

## THANK YOU !! ?s michael.brauer@ubc.ca

C-0-0-1-1-1