

National Collaborating Centre for Environmental Health

Centre de collaboration nationale en santé environnementale

# KNOWLEDGE TRANSLATION & THE PUBLIC HEALTH INSPECTOR

BCCDC ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SEMINAR SERIES – JUNE 25, 2015

CHARLENE TANG

BRITISH COLUMBIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY – STUDENT RESEARCH PROJECT

### Outline

- What is Knowledge Translation (KT)?
- □ Why is KT important to PHIs?
- Research Project
  - Methods
  - Results
  - Limitations
  - Next Steps
- Concluding Remarks

The World Health Organization estimates that approximately 50% of all premature deaths could be prevented every year through the application of already existing and available knowledge (CCGHR, 2012).

### What is Knowledge Translation?

"a dynamic and iterative process that includes synthesis, dissemination, exchange and ethically-sound application of knowledge to improve the health of Canadians, provide more effective health services and products and strengthen the health care system" (CIHR, 2014)

# Know



Community Health Issues, Local Context Community and Political Preferences and Actions

Public Health Expertise

Research

Public Health Resources

(NCCMT, 2012)

### Effective KT

- Research informed by best currently available knowledge
- Effective tools that meet needs/circumstances of users
- Knowledge uptake and use



### Example

- Influenza immunization among nurses
  - Current rate: 55-70%
  - Canadian National Advisory Committee on Immunization recommends 90%
  - Despite widespread evidence that:
    - worker-patient transmission, morbidity & deaths

# WHY? Knowledge users: attitudes, experiences & misperceptions

### Barriers to KT

Research	Organizational	Individual
Poor quality evidence	Lack of understanding KT needs	Lack of time
One-off studies	Limited resources	Lack of skills
	Competing agendas	Values
		Staff turnover
		<b>Restrictive Policies</b>
		Lack of resources
		No familiarity with
		evidence
		Cultural/language
		differences (MSFHR, 2012)

### What's being done?

#### Federally



Centre de collaboration nationale sur les politiques publiques et la santé

National Collaborating Centre for Healthy Public Policy



National Collaborating Centre for Infectious Diseases

Centre de collaboration nationale des maladies infectieuses



National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools

Centre de collaboration nationale des méthodes et outils





NATIONAL COLLABORATING CENTRE FOR ABORIGINAL HEALTH

CENTRE DE COLLABORATION NATIONALE DE LA SANTÉ AUTOCHTONE



National Collaborating Centre for Environmental Health

Centre de collaboration nationale en santé environnementale



National Collaborating Centre for Determinants of Health

Centre de collaboration nationale des déterminants de la santé

### What's being done?





### Hot Topics in Public Health



## Why is KT important to PHIs?

- New knowledge does not impact health by itself
- □ More effective and cohesive public health protection
- Positive public perception



### **Research Objectives**

1) Information PHIs use when making public health decisions

2) How PHIs go about finding the information required

3) Level of trust invested into each source of data

### Methods

Google Forms

#### Qualitative data

- Distribution
  - Social media



CIPHI





#### Knowledge Translation & the Public Health Inspector - Turning Evidence Into Practice

#### Section II: Sources of Information Used

Knowledge Translation is the process of using research evidence to improve health. Application refers to the development and integration of programs, policies, and services based on research evidence (MSFHR, 2012). How important is this concept of application to your work as a PHI?

- Very Important
- Important
- Moderately Important
- Of Little Importance
- O Unimportant

In daily practice, how regularly do you use evidence-based information to advise your decisions and actions?

- Always
- Often
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

## Results

Knowledge Translation is the process of using research evidence to improve health. Application refers to the development and integration of programs, policies, and services based on research evidence (MSFHR, 2012). How important is this concept of application to your work as a PHI?

55%

30%

15%

0%

0%



### { What do the findings suggest? }

Majority of PHIs regard KT as important to their practice. However, the availability and delivery of resources need to be improved to optimize KT action.

## Learning Preferences

#### To help you make informed decisions, how do you prefer to learn about a topic in environmental health? Select up to 4.



Fact sheets (1 page double-sided)		72%
Short reports (3-5 pages)	40	51%
Longer comprehensive reports (5+ pages)	11	14%
Colleagues	38	48%
Networks/Organizations (ex. PHAC, Health Canada, NCCEH)	52	66%
Large group sessions (ex. conferences, seminars)	30	38%
Small group sessions (ex. meetings, workshops)	40	51%
Independent research (ex. internet, library)	36	46%
Other	8	10%

### { What do the findings suggest? }

- Digested, straight forward information from established organizations preferred
- Discussion with small group of peers facilitates learning

### Sources of Information

### When faced with unfamiliar situations:

86% government agencies frequently or very frequently

82% referred to colleagues

64.5% professional literature

**63%** internet searches

**56.5%** professional organizations

### **Electronic Resources**

Very accessible but variable reliability

#### **Common Remarks:**

- "...government websites are not easily searchable and confusing to navigate"
- "...not conducive to real life application"

### **Perceived Barriers**

In your practice, do barriers exist that impede your access to evidence-based information?



Yes	61	77%
No	18	23%

If YES, what barriers impede your access to evidence-based information? Select all that apply.



Costs	33	42%
Time constraints	52	66%
Inconsistent/unreliable infomation	20	25%
Interprofessional miscommunication	8	10%
Lack of relevant information	22	28%
Lack of awareness of available resources	18	23%
Other	8	10%

## **Common Remarks**

#### Time

Lack of allotted time during work day

Not easily searchable

### 🗆 Cost

- No funding for training, journal subscriptions
- Lack of relevant/consistent information
  - Discrepancies in approach to change
  - No central resource to manage updates

## **Professional Development**

#### How important is professional development to your practice?



Very Important	49	62%	
Important	25	32%	
Moderately Important	3	4%	
Of Little Importance	2	2%	
Unimportant	0	0%	

### { What do the findings suggest? }

 94% of PHIs believe that continued professional development is important but opportunities to do so are insufficient.

# What can Info Providers Do Better?

#### More educational opportunities

Funding to attend workshops, journal subscriptions

In-person training & discussion

#### Create a central PHI-specific e-library

Concisely worded, written for application



Further promote communication with other agencies

P

### Limitations

63% of respondents were 20 – 39 years old
80% of respondents have Bachelor degrees
91% of respondents from British Columbia
50% from Fraser Health & Vancouver Coastal Health



Advance Continuing Education	1	1%
Alberta Health Services	2	2.5%
Fraser Health Authority	18	23%
First Nations Health Authority	3	4%
Interior Health Authority	17	22%
Northern Health Authority	7	9%
Self-Employed	1	1%
Vancouver Coastal Health Authority	21	27%
Vancouver Island Health Authority	5	6.5%
Mamawetan Churchill River Health Region	3	4%
& Sun Country Health Region		

### Next steps



## **Concluding Remarks**

- KT specific to PHIs complex and multifactorial
- Always room for improvement!



"He's exceeding at meeting expectations for needing improvement."



### References

Canadian Coalition for Global Health Research (CCGHR). (2012). Knowledge Translation Curriculum. Retrieved from http://www.ccghr.ca/wpcontent/uploads/2013/05/Module-3-KTCurriculum.pdf

Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR). (2012). More about knowledge translation at CIHR. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.cihrirsc.gc.ca/e/39033.html">http://www.cihrirsc.gc.ca/e/39033.html</a>

Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR). (2014). Figure 1: Knowledge-to-action framework. Retrieved from http://www.cihrirsc.gc.ca/e/39033.html

Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research (2012). British Columbia Knowledge Translation Needs Assessment. Retrieved from http://www.msfhr.org/sites/default/files/KT\_Needs \_ Assessment\_FINAL.pdf

National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools. (2012). A Model for Evidence-Informed Decision-Making in Public Health. [fact sheet]. Retrieved from <u>http://www.nccmt.ca/pubs/FactSheet\_EIDM\_EN\_WEB.pdf</u>.